

02/16/21 GA

- Call to Order – 6:04pm
- Approval of Agenda – Nick Setten Moves to take a modified agenda including an attendance
 - Attendance – (6:04pm) Betty Halfon, Jerry Baroh, Colleen Bowman, Christine Vaughan, Lisa Connolley, Devin McComb, Paul Neal, Karl Andersson, Zack Gebrekidan, Mary Bacarella, David Ghodoussi, Bob Messina, Gordie McIntyre, Mark Brady, Laurie Johnson, Joan Paulson, Skip Knox, Russell Monroe(7:15pm), Patricia Grey (7:31pm), Lillian Sherman (7:15pm), Tiffany Hitt (7:15pm), Nicole Cilley (7:15pm), Herb Acken, Jenn Schooley, Christi Beckley, Susan Ghodoussi (7:50pm), Mary Ghodoussi (7:50pm), Chad Trichler (7:47pm), Sebastian Torrey (7:51pm)
 - Skip Knox asks what the quorum is and where that number comes from. Nick incorrectly clarifies a quorum as defined by PDA Charter, Rules and Regs, and Roberts Rules
 - Laurie clarifies Nick’s statement about quorum drawing from Constituency Bylaws, Article X, Section 4.
 - Skip Knox speaks to Roberts Rules and parliamentary procedure to ensure the chaos of the last meeting does not happen again. Laurie Johnson asks that members keep public comment to the public comment period. Jerry points out that Points of Clarification are acceptable at any time. Christine V asks for clarification around definition of public comment as it pertains to Constituency Membership, since each member is considered equal. She clarifies that public comment would pertain to something not on the agenda. Skip requests that all people identify themselves when speaking.
 - Agenda approved by acclimation
- Approval of Minutes
 - Gordie states that traditionally, minutes from a meeting were printed out and handed off at the subsequent meeting to be ratified at the meeting after that. He suggests that given the current expediency in minutes, that that these minutes get approved sooner.
 - **GA Jan 19, December 17, ExCom Jan 28** (*note: Nov 19 GA were approved by acclimation at 12/17 ExCom meeting, after some clarification with Gordie M, this was edited to Jan 19 – ed*)
 - **No suggestions to the minutes – These three meeting minutes are approved by acclimation..**
- Public Comment
 - Bob Messina – offers a reminder that this meeting need be run according to proper procedure. He asks that if there is an important vote, that the second public comment period be moved prior to the vote. He hopes not to see free and open discussion disregarding these parliamentary procedures. He would like to see the structure of the meeting followed.
 - Laurie thanks Bob for his comment, and asks that Bob state his understanding of the period for public comment and how that has been used historically by Constituency at meetings. Bob responds that there are people here who have

attended Constituency meetings longer than he. Since 2011-2012 he saw Constituency meetings led in an unruly, open-ended fashion, sometimes degenerating into disrespectful language. He recalls making a suggestion that the Constituency meeting be run like a PDA council meeting or any other PDA committee meeting where public comment is heard at the beginning or end of the meeting with the proviso that someone can request the second public comment be moved up before an important vote is taken. He says that since this has been adopted, he and others feel comfortable being heard, and also audience cannot address the chair any time they want. He asks that the meeting be run in accordance with Robert's Rules, inclusive of input from non-boardmembers who choose to share their view at the appropriate time. He says that if the kind of chaos that happened at the last meeting were to happen in a PDA meeting it would be shut down. He states that this is not about having a dialogue with people, it is about making comments, the key person being the chair.

- Laurie asks to further clarify her understanding that the public comment period has always been reserved for non-board members of the constituency.
- Christine Vaughan asks for a point of order if this is an ExCom or a GA. Since it is a GA, she states that the General Membership are not part of the public, but equal participants with equal voting power. Jerry confirms this. Colleen Bowman is recognized and points out that there is a board member speaking during public comment, and that in her experience these have been more town hall-style meetings
 - Laurie is recognized, who asks to hear from other long-standing board members about this issue. Laurie states that in her experience with Constituency Meetings public comment is for constituency members who are not board members.
 - Nick asks if this is a valuable use of our time.
- Mary Bacarella asks about receiving Constituency applications and asks that someone email or call her to let her know who will pick these up.
- Christine asks what the order of the meeting will be, and whether members in attendance will be considered voting members. She says that if there is anything of substance to be decided, she assumes it will be a discussion held by all members involved and asks for clarification. Jerry confirms that this is a membership organization.
 - Laurie reiterates her request for input from David, Mark, Joan whose opinions may be different from Christine.
 - David states that in the past, there is public comment at the beginning and the end, and any input from members at other times is up to the discretion of the chair. Since this is a public meeting, he believes it to be the discretion of the chair.
 - Skip states that typically a person can speak once and has to wait for all others to speak again. While things have been done one way in the past, last meeting did not see this due to a disregard of parliamentary procedure.

- Skip states that there have been lapses in record keeping and in protocol over the years, and the only record of Constituency bylaws that exists in the city clerk's office is from 1992. Between then and now, various iterations of the bylaws have taken place and there is not at this point available a compilation of the changes that have been made to these bylaws. Along with that, there are no minutes to go along with these changes, so the only existing bylaws that are enforceable are the ones from 1991-2.
- Joan states in relation to how meetings have been run, it has been at the discretion of the chair, and often it goes comments from the board members first, then members from the general public. She states that order is better than chaos or mayhem.
- Skip states that in the last ExCom a lot of reference was made to precedent. He states that precedence does not take priority over parliamentary procedure, and expresses concern at Constituency action be taken out of compliance.
- Report: PDA Council Members
 - Market Programs Committee – David G reports that it was a good meeting. A recap of 2020 led by Amy Wallsmith and her staff, including a discussion of marketing campaigns. Daystalls were closed Mar 18 – Jun 10, and reopened in late June. The attendance requirements were suspended for the Daystall community. Tables were spaced out to accommodate social distancing rules, locker access times were spaced out so that vendors had assigned times to get their product. In July they started reopening Thurs – Sun, then added Mondays and increased Daystall attendance. There was a Daystall business education project for Daystall tenants. During 2020, there was 85 COVID-19 active permits, and 117 inactive permits. Valentines was a snow day. In 2021, tactics include Love In The Market, mother's day, fall festival, 50th anniversary of save the market, 26th year of magic in the market, daffodil day Mar 21 with pop-up murals. More small business webinars, and activation of social media including Instagram and tiktok. 3 trademark resolutions– one for the Pike Brewing Co., in the resolution there is a guarantee to purchase over \$20k in local ingredients (malt, hops, etc.); a resolution for Ellenos Yogurt for temporary use for 8months, Warner Records (label of former buskers Head and the Heart) will promote the Market and the band's music and will pay the market a licensing fee for this.
 - Gordie adds that the meeting ran out of time due to discussion of resolutions, so there was not time to receive the proposed marketing plan for 2021. This will be next month, but the information is available in the PDA packet right now.
 - Mark mentions that he brought up that there needs be more inhouse advertising for businesses in the Down Under during Concerns of the Committee Members.
 - FAM – year end procedures about what happened. PDA still has \$20 mil in reserves. On the commercial aspect, the PDA is \$740k in the black. In residential, there is about 8% vacancy, and nobody knows what will happen after the moratorium ends on Mar 31. Residents impacted by this moratorium would have 6 months to pay back what they owe.
 - PDA got a bond, paid it off early and saved \$266k
 - Nick asks about Mark calling market residents as deadbeats. He apologizes and says he meant to say delinquents.

- Committee Reports: Bylaws Committee, Elections Committee, Office/Archival Committee
 - Bylaws Committee – Jerry says that Skip says that the last bylaws were done in 1992.
 - Gordie states that the last dated set of bylaws available to us is 1993, but the bylaws that have been circulated widely are from 2003. He has been unable to find information about how or when this happened. He states that a review of bylaws has been on the table nearly every year but not much has happened. He comments that there was a proposal to change the membership fee that didn't go anywhere.
 - Jerry confirms that he has a copy from 2003 but no signature on it.
 - Skip says that according to the bylaws available at the city clerk's office, the quorum required for conducting business is 25 members or 20% of the membership. He says that we really need to find a continuous chain (like a chain of ownership) of edits. And says we have to be cognizant of this discrepancy, and encourages the group to do a serious review of the bylaws. He suggests having a professional registered parliamentarian provide assistance. He says that we have to be really careful in doing what we do in good faith.
 - Gordie points to Article X section 4, regarding quorums being specifically about votes of concurrence as stated in article 2, referring to items of concurrence such as election of an independent auditor or changing the bylaws. The quorum for any other business is 10 members.
 - Skip states that he wishes to expand on Gordie's reminder, and says that there is a broad range of other activity that could take place that also needs to be in accordance with PDA quorum as expressed in the PDA Rules & Regs. He also expresses his interest in being a part of the Bylaws Committee
 - Christine – refers to PDA rules and regs from 1993 section 5 'concurrence and quorum defined', saying that at least 10 members must be present for any business not including securing a required concurrence or electing a pda officer
 - Gordie agrees, but clarifies that this language is reflected in October 2013 revision.
 - Election committee
 - Laurie states there is nothing to report
 - Skip mentions that he was not aware of the creation of an election committee for this purpose, and no meeting of an election committee, and states that the procedure for finding a replacement for the PDA Rep seat as described in the current monthly newsletter is out of alignment with standard practice regarding membership awareness, including sufficient notice.
 - Jerry clarifies that this election committee is a committee has been preparing a report on last year's election.
 - Skip reads to portion of the newsletter to which he referred above: "Once candidates have been vetted and presented by the Election Committee, the date of the election will be submitted by the Election

Committee for approval by the majority of the Constituency Board. The Constituency membership will be given as much written notice as possible of the upcoming PDA Council Representative election location, date and time.” And takes issue with the notion that this could suggest pushing the election back to July. His objection is based on lack of notice to general membership and that it doesn’t reflect PDA or Constituency bylaws.

- Jerry again clarifies that this elections committee was created to write a report on the 2020 Election.
- Colleen Bowman states that since there is no election committee report, she would like to know an update about the election procedure mentioned in the recent newsletter.
- Archival/Office Committee
 - Gordie states that he is there every Friday and Sunday 12-3pm. He has started seeing some visitors, has been taking registrations, and providing answers about questions regarding our records. He states that since he lives in the market, he is always happy to take a call. He states that if people need to generate registration forms, particularly in April due to renewals, they can come and see him or call him.
 - Jerry asks Gordie to forward registrations mentioned above by Mary to Laurie. Gordie explains that when he gets registrations, he sends a photograph to Laurie, and files the original card. He commends Laurie on the expediency with which she processes registrations.
 - Mark says that he handed in 6 registrations to the office, and has been unable to send these registrations to Laurie. They were submitted to the PDA office, but hasn’t been able to get them to Laurie. Jerry asks that Mark provide Laurie with the names.
 - Mary asks when somebody gets recognized as a member- when they give the dollar and the form, or when we input the form on our membership roll. Jerry confirms that there is a date that is provided on the form. Mary states that if things go to the PDA office there will be a lag, which is unfair to members. She states that as it was set up that during the pandemic, things would be dropped off at the PDA office. She believes that once the dollar is paid and the date is on the form, that the member should be signed up.
 - Laurie clarifies that membership rights are not granted until 30 days after membership card is received. Mary asks what the starting date is, Laurie clarifies it is the date that the card was submitted. Clarity that the date on the application is the start of the 30-day probation period.
- New Business
 - According to bylaws, new pda rep vote needs to happen in person, with ID’s shown, based upon our bylaws. Jerry asks when we would like this to happen? 3-4 weeks from now?
 - Discussion:

- Skip states his belief that in law there is a “case of necessity”. And that the bylaws do not address email, zoom, COVID-19. He states that potentially we are looking at a case of necessity, and expresses his opinion that the Constituency might be able to move outside of prescribed procedure if done in good faith. He expresses his hope that the process referred to in the current monthly newsletter not be the process used to fill this vacancy, and moves to
 - **To constitute officially at this meeting appointment by the chair and election committee to come back within 10 days with a proposed process for filling the vacancy**
 - **Bylaws committee be constituted by the chair with concurrence of members present at this meeting to thresh out bylaws that will follow precedent or prior legislative requirements so that the organization can act with force and finality at the next meeting. He also expresses his wish that this issue be dealt with internally and civilly.**
 - Nick recommends exploring Election Runner as an online option to explore.
- David states that we have a process in our bylaws an elections process (article vii) already set forth for standard elections. He states that there is a plan and process for the elections as reflected in the bylaws. Jerry asks for clarification, and David states that there were conclusions that were being made by Jerry but the conversation went awry and he awaits Jerry’s leadership.
- Christine states that the bylaws also describe how to fill a vacancy at the next ‘general meeting’ (article iv, section 8). She understands the reluctance to vote over Zoom, and states that there is a preclusion to voting by proxy/by mail, but agrees that Zoom isn’t mail. She is not sure we currently have a quorum for filling the council seats, and hates to see confusion between the general election process and the filling of a PDA rep seat, stating that these are two different processes.
- **Skip Moves that the chair appoint with the concurrence of the majority of members present at this meeting a special election committee with a special purpose of drawing up a process to fill a vacancy on the pda council and report (within 10 days to the constituency membership.) Colleen Seconds**
 - **Skip amends motion to include that this committee come back within 10 days to the Constituency with their recommendations**
 - **Corollary motion that a special meeting be called to fill this vacancy.**
 - Discussion
 - Christine asks how this report be given to the Constituency, since the next GA meeting is Mar 16. She

suggests if we have that meeting and adjourn it and return to it the next day for elections it is not without precedent. She asks how this committee would get the information to the general body.

- Point of Order – Skip states that since ordinarily the maker of a motion is the first to respond, he will respond to her question. Namely, he states that our membership list has contact info, and we can send this out via email, us mail, website, and a phone-call programme.
- Gordie reiterates the time constraint of two months according to PDA bylaws. He states that if we don't make a choice within 60 days, the PDA makes the choice and it is out of the constituency's hands. He says that Constituency votes are always held in person, with ID presented, and signature confirmation, which can't be done by Zoom and that we cannot verify people's identification any other way. He suggests that first an election date is set (Mar 12, Friday) which will give Laurie time to create a ballot based on nominations taken tonight to mail to all members in good standing.
- Mark expresses concurrence with Gordie, and points out that in accordance with our bylaws, the Vice Chair is in charge of the elections committee, which means we don't need another nominating committee since Laurie is already in charge of that. He states that the only question at hand is who would serve on the committee with her. He expresses agreement with Gordie's points as to how they involve the Market and reminds the group that what the Constituency is about is the Market, which he defines as people who live or work in the Market, from what he's seen in his tenure. He states that because of this, it's not about politics or who has more power or is putting a person in a position because it's to their advantage. He expresses agreements with Gordie's point, and confirms that we have less than 25 people in the meeting so no action can be taken anyway. He says vote needs to be in person because that involves the Market, not people surrounding it or having nothing to do with the Constituency. Mark says that since he is seeing a large turnout of Foundation and PDA council folks tonight and not when other issues have come up to the board that these are special interest groups. Mark expresses

his frustration with this situation and says we need to mellow out and just do what Gordie suggests.

- Lisa says she got in touch with the hearing examiner's office, who confirms that we just need to take our time. *(Editor's note: This information was confirmed to be delivered verbally over the phone by Lisa Connolley on 2/22/21)* She says that we only need to nominate people, not rush. She says that if we invite the public to participate, we will have larger turnout. She also asked the hearing examiner if it is a conflict of interest when members of PDA board and staff come in to try to change a vote. Response was to take our time, get an attorney who will present a written motion that there is conflict of interest, and then the hearing examiner will hear the motion and make a decision.
- Colleen states that the board seems to be having an identity crisis – she says this is not an exclusive club, but in the last two meetings she has seen a segment of the board trying to make motions to exclude people.
- David makes a point of clarification that it is unusual for a member of the public to make a motion, Laurie agrees that she has no recollection either. David asks what line in the bylaws states that members of the public can make a motion.
- Gordie states that every person here is a member of the constituency so has a right to make a motion.
- Laurie concurs with Mark, David, Gordie, Lisa, stating that our bylaws state that the responsibility for conducting elections and monitoring constituency membership records is established in Article VII Sections 6 & 7. She also points to section 4 regarding a candidate packet. She states that these sections, in addition with Joan's compilation of many years' worth of Constituency election practices, and PDA charter article IX, Section 2 referring to voting in person only mean that it would be a serious violation of our bylaws, PDA charter, electioneering practices established over many years to conduct an ad hoc election via zoom or to change the process from what is stated in the bylaws. She states that she is opposed to changing the bylaws to conform with changing the election process. She is also against changing the bylaws to conform with some different version of the election process.

- Mary comments that anything can be changed in this pandemic – as shown by city council, mhc, etc. She has expressed this to Mark, David and Jerry, stating that we are cutting out a group of people who are not willing to come out of their house to vote due to the pandemic. She cautions us that because we are in the middle of a pandemic there are a lot of people (ex residents) who won't come out to vote since they can't come out of their homes. She states that changes to process can be made to open it up to people who can't come out of their homes due to the pandemic.
- Skip – Point of Order – says that we aren't speaking to the motion on the floor and requests we speak directly to the motion.
- Betty expresses agreement with Skip, and calls for a vote on the motion on the floor.
- Skip refers to Animal Farm (we are all equal but some are more equal than others)– we cannot disenfranchise people because it is not convenient. He also points out that just because people don't show up at every meeting, live in the market or work there, doesn't mean they aren't interested. He reiterates that we are ALL part of the public.
- Lisa states her respect for what Skip has been saying, and reiterates that if we don't do this correctly and in accordance with 1993 bylaws, this could be found as null and void if a case was made to the hearing examiner. She refers to the feedback she got, that there is a process.
- Nick clarifies and reads the Motion. Colleen clarifies that she seconds the amended motion.
- Point of Order - Mark asks if this motion would negate the bylaw charge about the election committee. Jerry confirms that this does not negate that bylaw. Mark clarifies that she is still in charge of the Elections Committee, and Jerry confirms that he will appoint her to this Special Election committee. Mark clarifies that this motion only appoints people to serve on that committee with Laurie.
- Laurie asks for clarifying if this motion calls for somebody different to be in charge of this election. She states that there is nothing in the bylaws stating a 10-day period in which the chair of a committee is required

to provide a report. She reiterates that she believes this to be a violation of our bylaws.

- Point of clarification – Skip clarifies that this motion does not call for the appointment of one person, but of a committee.

- **Motion that the chair appoint with the concurrence of the majority of members present at this meeting an election committee with a special purpose of drawing up a process to fill a vacancy on the pda council and report within 10 days to the constituency membership.**
 - **Vote no- Lisa Connolley, Laurie Johnson, Joan Paulson, Mark Brady, David Ghodoussi, Gordie McIntyre, Susan Ghodoussi, Mary Ghodoussi, Chad Trichler, Sebastian Torrey - 10**
 - **Vote yes – Nick Setten, Christine Vaughan, Colleen Bowman, Nicole Cilley, Karl Andersson, Russell Monroe, Devin McComb, Tiffany Hitt, Christi Beckley, Patricia Grey, Herb Acken, Jennifer Schooley, Skip Knox, Lillian Sherman – 14**
 - **Abstain – Zack- 1**
- Skip makes a corollary motion to call a special meeting of the Constituency, and appealing to the Case of Necessity he suggests that there is a very tight timeline, and to fill this vacancy, needs to be done fast and with transparency so we need to make every effort to make people know what's going on.
- Christine V comments on the sense of urgency, and points out that the PDA resolution was passed Jan 28, so 60 days is Mar 28 so there is still time to do this.
- Jerry suggests March 12 for voting in person, and this date or Mar 19 could be ideal.
- Point of Order - Skip requests the committee be compiled as per the recent motion, and also asks a return to the corollary motion that was just discussed.
 - Jerry asks if Laurie would head up the election committee, who confirms that she is the head of the election committee. Jerry asks that Russell Monroe assist, who agrees. Skip asks to be considered, and promises to work in a congenial manner. Laurie says that that's a tough call. She states that Lisa, Mark and David were also interested.
 - Colleen echoes Skip's comment and offers assistance if we would like it. She points out that she has always volunteered for constituency elections.
 - Laurie says as the chair of the elections committee she believes that this motion is a violation of our bylaws. She states that normally an election committee chair would be able to select the committee members, and having more people on this committee, in particular people with vested interests in electing a certain individual to this position is not in the best interest of the Constituency and the public.
 - Skip asks that that impugning on other parties' motives is inappropriate and ask that that comment be stricken from the record
 - David states that usually in this process volunteers are asked for. He suggests Gordie McIntyre.
 - Lisa agrees to help out if she can.
 - Mark agrees to help on the committee.

- Laurie asks Joan if would serve on the committee given her history with elections.
 - Nick asks if Joan was one of the nominees as decided at the last meeting. Laurie states that this is not known yet. Nick states it is a matter of public record. Laurie clarifies that we do not know if Joan will pursue the nomination and that things change.
- Christine asks to clarify if we are deciding nominees or procedure. She states that this is not an election committee fulfilment as she reads the bylaws and refers to article iv section 8, but a vacancy to be filled by the majority at the next regular meeting and so is outside of the usual election procedures. She says that in her experience, she was elected to an open position at a general meeting by a majority of members present without any committee, that nominations were taken from the floor with due notice to the membership. She states that there is nothing this motion that is precluded in the bylaws, it is a motion that steps outside the bylaws due to covid and the extraordinary circumstances. She states there is nothing in this motion that is against the bylaws. She comments that “conflict of interest’ is a particularly rocky road, especially when there are merchants in the Pike Place Market who sit on the PDA council who discusses procedures, marketing and rents for PDA-owned busines. She states that she sees value in having a committee evenly split between yes votes and no votes.
- Jerry recognized Skip who Moves
 - **That the contact information for all members of the newly-appointed committee be shared with each other so they have equal access to each other by email, text and phone so there is ample opportunity to converse with each other.**
 - **Nick seconds**
 - Colleen comments that the chair of the committee should be the one to determine how we communicate and requests input from Laurie. Laurie says that there as no second, so she won’t respond to that. Nick clarifies that he seconded the motion.
 - David comments that the point is to have a fair election where we can check people’s identification and they can vote in person. He says we need to advertise to all members that there will be an election, and we need to let the election committee do what they’ve done in the past according to the bylaws. He says election committee needs to find out who interested, give their bios, and move forward.
 - Jerry says that this needs to be transparent and non-partisan but is not hearing the non-partisan aspect.

- Russell comments that he was under the assumption that there were 3 nominees at the last ExCom meeting, and asks for clarification.
 - Jerry confirms that there were 3 people previously nominated.
 - Russell asks if it's just a matter of getting that ballot together.
- Skip calls point of order that the discussion is not about the current motion on the floor. He comments that if there's anybody who doesn't want to share their contact information, there needs to be an assumption that all are playing fair and behaving in an upright way under penalty of sanction and removal from the group.
- Laurie says this is something that can be done in committee, Colleen clarifies that the motion was seconded, Laurie says nobody seconded, Jerry and Colleen confirm that Nick seconded it. Laurie bids the group have fun and states that she will run the committee as she so chooses, that this is a process micromanaging a process that's already in the bylaws and that this process is overriding what's in the bylaws.
- Skip says that that attitude is not how it's done, and this is not called democracy. He reiterates that the constituency appointed a committee, and that committee needs to operate under the same rules as the constituency.
- Laurie says that since Skip called her earlier angrily and attacking her she is not comfortable sharing her information with him or any other member who treats her in that manner.
 - Jerry states that rules and regulations about when communication can happen can be set.
- Skip states that his integrity had been impugned and calls that member to order.
- Laurie steps down from chairing this committee and leaves the meeting. Jerry asks Russell if he will take the chair. Russell states that he thinks this process isn't necessary and he accepts it to keep moving things along. He ensures that Skip is included in communications.
 - Skip expresses disappointment in Laurie's stepping down, rejects the characterization she had made, and urges Laurie to reconsider dropping out.
- Lisa asks to clarify which and how many forms of communication this motion pertains to. Nick rereads the motion. Lisa requests that the motion be amended to include "or" so that committee members can choose just one form of communication. Skip says this is contrary to the meaning of the

motion. He states that if there are considerations around limitations on communication that can be discussed.

- Colleen asks for point of order to confirm who the chair is – Jerry confirms this as Russell Monroe.
 - Colleen asks Russell how he would prefer to proceed. Russell states that most committee experience is done via email, and suggests that if an email comes up to give Skip a call.
 - Russell moves to dismiss the motion, and assures that as a course of procedure he will ensure open communication.
 - Skip withdraws the motion.
- Russell requests a list of the members of this committee. Skip provides Russell with his email and phone number.
 - Colleen, Skip, Russell, Mark, Lisa
- Skip points out that we have gone past our designated meeting time.
- Public Comment
 - Christine – asks again how the report will be made to the membership, and how the membership can respond, recognizing that the next general meeting is Mar 16.
 - Skip says that these are good questions, and she be invited to attend one of these committee meetings to offer any questions. Christine that she is not at a point to join a committee but that any constituency member would be happy to reflect if asked.
 - Colleen says that her goal as a member of the nomination committee to make sure that no member of the constituency be disenfranchised in this election.
 - Gordie reiterates that the Constituency Office is open and if people want to join him there on Friday or Sunday they can have a frank and open discussion, even though there's only room for about 3 people in the room.
 - David reiterates that there has been an election during the pandemic, and we ought to stick with our guidelines regarding voting in person, and says we must not vote over Zoom or Social Media.
 - Colleen expresses her agreement about not voting over zoom. She mentions Election Runner.com which is an online service, and points out that the Constituency is not alone in navigating this challenge.
 - Joan comments that the organization has bylaws, election guidelines and legitimate constraints from the rules of the PDA charter, which should be followed. She states that what happened tonight is getting further and further away from any the recognition or adherence of these documents.
- Adjourn – 8:33pm